

PATHE Conference 2010

Belgrade, 22 -25 April 2010

BEFORE THE CONFERENCE


Q1: How would you rate the information provided before the conference?

Table 1.

| Information provided | Total nr. of Replies | 1 Outstanding | 2 Good | 3 Satisfactory | 4 Unsatisfactory | 5 Poor | 6 Very poor | Average |
|--|----------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Q1: information provided before the conference | 24 | 11 (45,83%) | 11 (45,83%) | 2 (8,33%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1,6 |

- Majority of respondents rated the information provided before the meeting as good and outstanding. Only two participants assessed the information provided as satisfactory and no one assessed it negatively.

Chart 1.



DURING THE CONFERENCE

Q2: Throughout the conference how would you evaluate programme, facilitation, accommodation, food, overall quality?


Table 2.

| Evaluation | Total nr. of Replies | 1 Outstanding | 2 Good | 3 Satisfactory | 4 Unsatisfactory | 5 Poor | 6 Very poor | Average |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Q 2.1.: Programme | 24 | 5 (20,83%) | 18 (75%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1,79 |
| Q 2.2.: Facilitation | 24 | 15 (62,50%) | 7 (29,17%) | 1 (4,17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1,42 |
| Q 2.3.: Accommodation | 24 | 19 (79,17%) | 4 (16,67%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1,21 |
| Q 2.4.: Food | 24 | 16 (66,67%) | 7 (29,17%) | 1 (4,17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1,38 |
| Q 2.5.: Overall quality | 24 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,70 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------|
| | | (29,17%) | (62,50%) | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | (0%) | |
| Q 2.6.: Your own engagement and contribution | 24 | 0 (0%) | 16 (66,67%) | 7 (29,17%) | 1 (4,17%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2,38 |

- All participants evaluated programme positively, good (75%) or outstanding (20,83%). However no one expressed unsatisfaction.
- Majority of participants found facilitation as outstanding (62,50%) only one participant evaluated it as satisfactory. There was no one who expressed non-satisfaction.
- Participants were also very satisfied with accommodation. High majority evaluated it as outstanding (79,17%) and 4 participants as good (16,67%).
- majority of respondents evaluated food as outstanding (66,67%) and good (29,17%), one respondent found it satisfied.
- In general participants evaluated overall quality of the meeting as very positive, for 29% of participants overall quality was outstanding and for 7 participants satisfactory. No one expressed unsatisfaction.
- Additionally, participants' own engagement and contribution was evaluated positively, majority asses it as good (66,67%) and satisfactory (29,17%), while only one participant assessed his/her own contribution as unsatisfactory.

Chart 2.



Q3: To what extent were your expectations reached?


Table 3.

| Expectations | Total nr. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Average |
|--------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
|--------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|

| | of Replies | All reached | Mostly reached | Some reached | Some not reached | Mostly not reached | None reached | |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|------|
| Q3: reached expectations | 15 | 0 (0%) | 6 (40%) | 7 (46,67%) | 1 (6,67%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2,67 |

- Almost 47% of the respondents found their expectations reached to some extent. For 40% of participants expectations were mostly reached and for one participant some were not reached. No one found all reached nor none reached expectations.

Chart 3.



Q4: PROGRAMME

Q 4.1.: Which activity/-ies did you like the most and why?

- Discussions
- Programme, meetings
- Plenary sessions 1+2
- Physical activity + workshop
- Workshops
- Plenary session, high level of topics
- Workshop, open world
- Key note speeches and some workshop presentations
- Fitness activities, lack of sport clothes
- Sport activities (Ada Ciganlija + Kolo) – it was a good break in between of workshops
- Activities in park
- Physical activities in sport resort in Belgrade
- Workshop, how kids become actors of their healthy lifestyle
- All
- Workshop, open world
- Workshop 3 and 5, because of the possibility to actively contribute and influence the results

Q 4.2.: Which activity/-ies did you like the least and why?

- Bilateral workshop – low English speaking level of presenters
- Network meeting – it was confusing
- Power point presentations without pictures and charts
- Too evening meetings on Friday and Saturday
- Presentations which had nothing in common with PATHE project

AFTER THE CONFERENCE


Q5: Will the conference help you in the future activities?

Table 11.

| Expectations | Total nr. Of replies | 1 Yes | 2 No | Average |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Q5: Fulfillment of expectations | 14 | 13 (92,86%) | 1 (7,14%) | 1,07 |

- In general, 14 participants out of 24 answered that question. 93% of respondents assessed that conference will help them in the future activities, only one participant felt opposite.

Chart 11.



- To develop my skills
- Networking
- Use ideas in my country
- Speak about the different projects
- To use new experience
- I have gained better knowledge of the associations' projects
- Organizing and to better prepare for the next challenge
- Improve physical activities to lead healthier man
- To know ISCA is our background
- New knowledge

- Good staff
- To use new experience
- We have agreed on some forms of cooperation with ISCA and some organizations in future

Q6: OTHER OPINIONS:

Q 6.1.: Aspects to be praised

- Staff
- Networking
- Excellent predisposition of the organization
- Very good organized and with good spirits
- Work together, possibility to communicate, bilateral communication, sharing experiences
- Individual approach
- Maximum engagement of ISCA staff

Q 6.2.: Aspects to be improved:

- Translation
- Translation
- We would like to receive one or two schemes before conference
- Long distance between food 😊
- To ensure contributions were “in medicas res”

Q 6.3.: Other comments

- all the best
- Congratulations
- Continue with this conference
- Thanks
- It was our pleasure to be with all of you!