

SPORT CITIZENS' FORUM IN SLOVENIA

Bled, 18th – 21st November 2010

BEFORE THE FORUM


Q1: How would you rate the information provided before the forum?

Table 1.

Information provided	Total nr. of Replies	Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Poor	Very poor
Q1: Information provided before the forum	33	14 (42.43%)	16 (48.48%)	2 (6.06%)	1 (3.03%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

- Majority of respondents rated the information provided before the panel as good (48.48%) and as outstanding (42.43%). However, 1 participants assessed the information provided as unsatisfactory.

Chart 1.



DURING THE FORUM


Q2: Throughout the forum how would you evaluate programme, facilitation, accommodation, food, overall quality and your engagement?

Table 2.

Evaluation	Total nr. of Replies	Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Poor	Very poor
Q 2.1.: Programme	33	13 (39.40%)	14 (42.42%)	6 (18.18%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Q 2.2.: Facilitation	33	17 (51.51%)	13 (39.39%)	3 (9.10%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Q 2.3.: Accommodation	23	21 (63.64%)	9 (27.27%)	3 (9.09%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Q 2.4.: Food	33	15 (45.46%)	14 (42.42%)	4 (12.12%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Q 2.5.: Overall quality	39	15 (48.40%)	16 (51.60%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
Q 2.6.: Your own engagement and contribution	33	9 (27.28%)	13 (39.39%)	10 (30.30%)	1 (3.03%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

- Majority of the respondents evaluated the programme as good (42.42%) and as outstanding (39.40%). Only one respondent expressed dissatisfaction.
- Half of participants found facilitation as outstanding (51.51%). There was no one who evaluated it negatively.
- Participants were also satisfied with accommodation. Majority evaluated it as outstanding (63.64%) and good (27.27%). No one expressed dissatisfaction.
- Majority of respondents evaluated food as outstanding (45.46) and good (42.42%). There was no negative assessments.
- In general participants evaluated overall quality of the panel very positively. For half of respondents (51.60%) the overall quality was good and for other half outstanding (48.40%). However, no one expressed dissatisfaction.
- Additionally, participants' own engagement and contribution was evaluated positively, majority assessed it as good (39.39%) and satisfactory (30.30%), while 1 participant assessed his/her own contribution as unsatisfactory.

Chart 2.




Q3: To what extent were your expectations reached?

Table 3.

Expectations	Total nr. of Replies	All reached	Mostly reached	Some reached	Some not reached	Mostly not reached	None reached
Q3: Reached expectations	33	10 (30.30%)	17 (51.52%)	6 (18.18%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

- Half of the respondents found their expectations mostly reached (51.52%). For 30% of participants expectations were some all reached and for 6 participants some reached.

Chart 3.



Q4: PROGRAMME

Q4.1.: Which activity/-ies did you like the most and why?

- Future workshop 11
- Walk around the lake Bled 3 – beautiful nature and it helps people to socialize
- Intercultural closing evening 3
- “1000 movements” 3
- some of presentations, especially Jacob, Toni, Albania, Romania 3
- “Castle mood” 2
- all workshops 2, because of rich and constructive exchanges, exchange of experiences
- Quiz
- Youth engagement
- “what is the role of sport in the Europe of tomorrow”
- interview discussion session – was good to hear the different views of people from different countries
- Physical activities in the morning
- Informal opportunities of networking
- Toni Llop and Jacob Schouenborg’s presentations
- Communication among participants
- Intercultural exchange of experiences
- Discussions

Q4.2.: Which activity/-ies did you like the least and why?

- Presentations were too long.
- Presentations marathon – too many presentations in the limited time, therefore not enough time to reflect on each one.
- Friday 2 – too much one-way communication, some presentations have been improvised or were presenting their own organization; lack of practical content.
- Declaration was not organic at all.
- Some presentations – too much info at once.
- Not enough time for bilateral meetings and for visiting Bled, Slovenia.
- Not enough breaks between lessons.
- I believe they were all needed. Perhaps we should let Elena do Goce’s presentation.

AFTER THE FORUM


Q5: Has the forum inspired you with new idea(s) to implement in your organisation? If yes, please specify how.

Table 4.

<i>Future activities</i>	Total nr. Of replies	Yes	No
Q5: Impact on future activities	29	26 (89.66%)	3 (10.34%)

- Great majority of respondents assessed that panel will help them in the future activities (89.66%), only 3 participants expressed opposite.

Chart 4.



- Chance to cooperate with ISCA
- It gave us solutions to the issues that we have had
- New ideas
- How to act together, to build together and to aim to harmonize our systems
- Ideas on how to approach issues involving related topics such as social inclusion, education and voluntarism.
- Be always changing.
- New contacts
- Active role of the local communities and good practice examples from ISCA
- Implementation of the programme, finding funds for sport and PA
- Good practices from Croatia, Slovenia, Albania

- Networking
- Experiences from different countries 2
- Trying to involve volunteers in active role in the organization
- I wish to include different countries into our organization and international meetings.

Q6: OTHER OPINIONS

Q6.1.: Aspects to be praised:

- Good atmosphere, organisers very open and friendly
- Nice and interesting accompanying programme
- Although some participants arrived later or left earlier, level of participants was good.
- Some of the presentations were interesting and inspiring.
- Youth engagement – lots of participants under 30
- Bilateral and multilateral activities through SFA
- Great chance to meet old friends and exchange experiences
- Continue with this system for better society

Q6.2.: Aspects to be improved:

- Communication in dialogue and during the sessions
- To ask the lecturers to speak English in a way to be understandable to the host of listeners
- More practical experiences should be shared
- The presentations should be shorter and more connected to the topics
- More intercultural interactions and exchanges during free time
- Human challenges need to accept the game rules
- Bigger involvement of participants in the declaration writing.
- More free time
- Handouts of presentations – slides could be given in advance
- Participants could be accommodated at one location
- At the closing dinner only port from meat was offering (religious issues)
- Promotion of the event could be improved
- Not necessary dependent on organisers, but I was disappointed not to see any higher representatives of Slovenia present at such important forum (i.e. representatives of Ministry of sport, health, education and/or EU mps from Slovenia)
- Forum focusing on PA and Health, but almost all focus on drinks offered were alcohol.
- More free time
- Friday could have more team-building activities

Q6.3.: Other comments:

- The best dance night ever
- Very good organized. Thanks Saska!

- Knowledge is power that you share with open hearth. Thank you for that.
- Very positive and useful event. Congratulations to the organisers.
- Thanks a lot.
- Bled is very beautiful town. You have chosen nice venue.